

**BEFORE THE
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION
OF MARYLAND**

In the matter of the)	
Application of Catoctin Power, LLC)	
for a Certificate of Public Convenience and)	Case No. 8997
Necessity to Construct a nominal 600 MW)	
generating facility in Frederick County, Maryland)	

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF GARY L. WALTERS

1 **Q. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME, OCCUPATION, AND CURRENT POSITION.**

2 A. My name is Gary L. Walters. I am an environmental engineer with
3 Environmental Resources Management, Inc. (ERM) and Manager of the
4 Engineering Group in ERM’s Annapolis, Maryland office. A statement of my
5 educational background, occupational history, and professional qualifications is
6 attached to this testimony as Appendix A.

7 **Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY TESTIFIED AS AN EXPERT WITNESS**
8 **IN OTHER REGULATORY PROCEEDINGS AND IF SO,**
9 **DESCRIBE THE NATURE OF YOUR TESTIMONY.**

10 A. Yes, I have testified as an expert on the use of reclaimed water for
11 process cooling in several prior licensing proceedings before the PSC,
12 specifically the Panda-Brandywine cogeneration facility in
13 Brandywine, Maryland, which was licensed by the PSC in 1994 (PSC
14 Case No. 8488), and the Kelson Ridge cogeneration facility, which was
15 proposed to be constructed in Charles County, Maryland and licensed
16 by the PSC in 2001 (PSC Case No. 8843).

1 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR SPECIFIC EXPERIENCE IN POWER
2 PLANT SITE EVALUATION AND IMPACT ASSESSMENT
3 STUDIES IN MARYLAND.

4 A. In addition to the PSC cases cited above, I was also integrally involved
5 in PPRP's evaluation of converting the cogeneration facility at the
6 Eastern Correctional Institution to fire poultry litter as its primary fuel.
7 I served as PPRP's lead technical consultant and project coordinator
8 for the study, which was conducted over the 1998-2000 timeframe. I
9 was also the lead engineer and case manager for PPRP during the
10 evaluation and licensing of the Allen Family Foods/CHx Engineering
11 cogeneration facility, which was a waste-to-energy facility (specifically,
12 gasification of poultry litter) planned to be constructed on the premises
13 of Allen Family Foods' poultry processing plant in Hurlock, Maryland.
14 And I am currently serving as the lead engineer in PPRP's evaluations
15 of the reactivation and expansion of the Cove Point LNG terminal in
16 Lusby, Maryland, which is a CPCN licensing proceeding before the
17 Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC).

18 Q. DESCRIBE YOUR ROLE IN PPRP'S REVIEW OF THE PROPOSED
19 CATOCTIN POWER PROJECT AND THE PURPOSE OF YOUR
20 TESTIMONY.

21 A. I was responsible for all evaluations related to the facility's proposed
22 use of reclaimed water for process cooling (as one of two possible
23 water supply options). My testimony will address the possible use of
24 reclaimed water by Catoctin Power for process cooling; however,
25 additional detail regarding this topic is contained in PPRP's report
26 titled *Environmental Review of the Proposed Catoctin Power Project* (DNR
27 Exhibit __DHB-2A), Section 6.4 for which I was the principal author.

28 Q. WHAT INFORMATION DID YOU RELY UPON IN
29 CONDUCTING YOUR ANALYSES?

30 A. I developed an understanding of the proposed facility through review
31 of materials submitted by the applicant, including the CPCN

1 application, supplemental filings and responses to specific data
2 requests. I developed familiarity with the reclaimed water source
3 during a site visit to the Ballenger Creek wastewater treatment plant
4 (WWTP) and meetings with representatives of the Frederick County
5 Department of Public Works (DPW) in August 2004. Under my
6 direction ERM also received and reviewed data regarding the
7 operations and historical performance of the Ballenger Creek WWTP
8 from the Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE). In
9 addition, I have a significant amount of prior experience with similar
10 use of reclaimed water resulting from my involvement in the Panda-
11 Brandywine and Kelson Ridge cases.

12 **Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE EVALUATIONS THAT YOU**
13 **CONDUCTED REGARDING THE USE OF WWTP EFFLUENT FOR**
14 **PROCESS COOLING WATER.**

15 A. Numerous qualitative and quantitative evaluations were made with
16 regard to the use of the treated effluent from the Ballenger Creek
17 WWTP in the Catoctin Power cooling water system. Information and
18 data evaluated included the following:

- 19 • Interviews with the plant manager for the Ballenger Creek
20 WWTP;
- 21 • The National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System permit
22 for the Ballenger Creek WWTP (NPDES Permit MD0021822);
- 23 • Monthly Discharge Monitoring Reports (DMRs) for the
24 Ballenger Creek WWTP, which include the results of routine
25 sampling for the Discharge Permit parameters, obtained
26 from MDE;
- 27 • A 'Notice of Violation' (NOV) summary report for the
28 Ballenger Creek WWTP obtained from MDE;

- 1 • Ballenger Creek WWTP effluent analytical data for non-
2 routine parameters (toxic metals and organics) obtained
3 from MDE; and
- 4 • Whole Effluent Toxicity (WET) data from Ballenger Creek
5 WWTP obtained from MDE.

6 **Q. WHAT WERE YOUR CONCLUSIONS REGARDING THE**
7 **SUITABILITY OF USING RECLAIMED WATER FOR PROCESS**
8 **COOLING?**

9 A. We concluded that the effluent from the Ballenger Creek WWTP is
10 very high quality water and would be suitable for use in the cooling
11 water system of the Catactin Power facility. The routine monitoring
12 data from the Ballenger Creek WWTP, in conjunction with the
13 performance history of the WWTP, indicate that the plant has an
14 excellent operational history and is subject to infrequent upset
15 conditions. In fact, review of the performance history of the Ballenger
16 Creek WWTP indicates that the facility has never been cited for
17 violations in water quality – the only violations the facility has been
18 cited for relate to excessive flow. Notwithstanding the favorable
19 record of the Ballenger Creek WWTP, ERM’s experience with WWTPs
20 in general and prior cases involving the use of reclaimed water have
21 confirmed that upset conditions can occur at all WWTPs and,
22 consequently, there is a need for routine monitoring and for
23 contingency measures when upset conditions occur.

24 **Q. WHAT MEASURES WILL ENSURE THAT ONLY WATER OF**
25 **SUITABLE QUALITY IS USED IN THE PLANT'S COOLING**
26 **WATER SYSTEM?**

27 A. Several conditions that will be recommended by PPRP as part of the
28 CPCN licensing process will provide this assurance. First and
29 foremost, Catactin Power will be required to chlorinate the reclaimed
30 water obtained from the Ballenger Creek WWTP (or McKinney WWTP
31 when it comes on line) sufficiently to establish and maintain a

1 measurable free chlorine residual for a minimum of 6 hours prior to
2 use of the reclaimed water in the power plant's cooling water makeup
3 system. The efficacy of chlorination as a means of disinfection is a
4 function of maintaining a free chlorine residual and allowing sufficient
5 contact time for the chlorine to kill any pathogenic microorganisms
6 (bacteria and viruses).

7
8 Secondly, PPRP will recommend routine monitoring procedures be
9 employed by Catoctin Power to ensure that the effluent is of
10 consistently high quality once it is received at the power plant. The
11 effluent will be sampled daily for pH, turbidity, total suspended solids,
12 fecal coliform and free chlorine. These are indicator parameters that
13 provide the most expeditious means of assuring that only water of
14 suitable quality is used in the facility's cooling water system.

15 Additionally, the Ballenger Creek WWTP will provide notifications, as
16 required by its NPDES permit, of any plant condition that would cause
17 it not to meet its discharge limits within 24 hours of learning of the
18 upset condition. The Catoctin Power facility will be constructed such
19 that it can instantaneously divert reclaimed water from delivery to the
20 plant's cooling system or store it on site for additional treatment,
21 thereby preventing water of unacceptable quality from entering the
22 plant's cooling system.

23 Finally, the transit time for the reclaimed water to be conveyed from
24 the Ballenger Creek WWTP to the Catoctin Power facility is estimated
25 to be approximately 6 hours and will provide Catoctin Power
26 additional time to become aware of upset conditions at the WWTP
27 prior to the effluent reaching the Catoctin Power facility. Immediately
28 upon becoming aware of unacceptable water in the pipeline, Catoctin
29 Power can instantaneously divert the effluent and return it to the
30 effluent pipeline or provide additional treatment on site.

31 **Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?**

32 **A.** Yes, it does.

Appendix A

Statement of Qualifications

APPENDIX A
STATEMENT OF QUALIFICATIONS FOR
GARY L. WALTERS

Gary L. Walters is an Environmental Engineer and Principal with Environmental Resources Management, Inc. He manages the Engineering Group in ERM's Annapolis, Maryland office. He received a B.A. degree Cum Laude from Western Maryland College in 1977, with a major in Biology and a minor in Chemistry, and received a Master of Science in Civil Engineering, with an emphasis in Environmental Engineering, from the University of Maryland in 1982.

Mr. Walters has over 23 years experience in industrial waste management and environmental engineering. His fields of competence include water and wastewater treatment, industrial pretreatment, solid and hazardous waste management, and hazardous waste investigations and remediation. Mr. Walters has been with ERM for fourteen years and has provided engineering support, primarily in the areas of water supply, wastewater treatment, and other waste management issues on other licensing cases before the Maryland Public Service Commission.

Specifically, Mr. Walters' experience related to the evaluation of impacts from power generating facilities in Maryland includes:

- Manager and lead engineer for the evaluation of a poultry litter fired cogeneration facility proposed to be constructed by Allen Family Foods at their Hurlock, Maryland poultry processing plant (2000);
- Managed the comprehensive engineering and socioeconomic assessment of using poultry litter as a fuel at the Eastern Correctional Institution Cogeneration Facility in Princess Anne, Maryland (1999/2000);

- Directed the engineering evaluations of the Panda-Brandywine cogeneration facility, located in Brandywine, Maryland , including the use of reclaimed wastewater for cooling water (1994); and
- Directed the engineering evaluations of the Kelson Ridge cogeneration facility proposed to be constructed by Free State Electric in Charles County, Maryland (2001).

Prior to joining ERM in 1990, Mr. Walters was Chief of the Restoration Branch of the U.S. Army Toxic and Hazardous Materials Agency (now the Army Environmental Center) in Aberdeen, Maryland where he managed a group of 10 multidisciplined scientists and engineers in executing and overseeing the Department of the Army's (DA) Superfund program at DA facilities across the country. Mr. Walters was also employed as a Project Engineer and Project Manager with Black & Veatch Consulting Engineers for four years where he was integrally involved in the development of industrial pretreatment programs for major POTWs in the Baltimore-Washington metropolitan area.